Methodology

CS 347 Maneesh Agrawala

Announcements Quiz #5 is worth 2x will take place June 5th (next Wed). Comprehensive No section in week 10 (but discussant commentaries/metacommentaries still due) AMA for part of lecture on Monday: <u>https://forms.gle/Bb7Y5krrpzmrSQUi7</u>



Last week Mon

Artifacts have politics: the systems we create influence groups and societies, often with undesirable outcomes

prototyping, and evaluation, and in how we dissipate knowledge.

HCI's role: Identify the ways in which technology shapes the society and envision alternative methods and processes, technical approaches, policies, and designs that mitigate these issues

- **Designers hold power:** the power is in the theories, methods for ideation,



Last week Week

Until recently researchers have thought — the space of possible human behavior has been too vast and complex to simulate LLMs show promise in constructing such behavioral simulations Emergent behaviors/interactions between agents in **Smallville** Social simulacra uses an LLM to post in a human-like way to social media to prototype system design



Recall: HCl interdisciplinarity Before this class: "HCI is design process-iterated product" After this class: An algorithm paper can be HCI A design paper can be HCI Theory A qualitative paper can be HCI A critical theory paper can be HCI An EE/ME paper can be HCI A field experiment can be HCI

. . .

Design

Engineering

Psych/Social Science





Math: formal proof Applied physics: measurement **Psychology:** experiment Anthropology: ethnography

What binds together HCI? We sometimes think of fields as being bound together by method



What binds together HCI? HCl is not a field that is bound together by method; HCl is bound together by a shared interest in a topic **Pro:** multiple methodologies present us with many lenses from which we can make progress **Con:** it's not always straightforward to know which method to apply





Which is the best method?



Nocay Which is the best method? Common methodologies in HCI How to select your method





Ways of Knowing in HCI

Judith S. Olson Wendy A. Kellogg *Editors*

Ways of Knowing in HCI









Systems Goal: develop a novel interactive system that expands the frontiers of what we can create Examples from earlier:



Systems

Goal: develop a novel interactive system that expands our frontiers of how interaction might look

Examples from earlier:





Interactive Exploded Views

Soli

Teddy





Systems Strength: can inspire and invent new visions of interaction Challenge: the line between a genuinely new idea and advanced development can become blurry if we're not careful; rarely provides novel behavioral insight



|4

Experiments





Experiments Goal: demonstrate a causal relationship underlying behavior Examples:

Demand characteristics

[Dell et al. 2012]

Participant

Response bias due to signals in a study that indicate what the researcher is hoping to see: activating status differences



design that I made

Privacy

Participant Researcher

want to get your

design that I made

eedback on this

Ubiquitous computing naturally raises many questions of how much privacy we are giving up in exchange for its benefits

Behavioral work has documented an empirical **privacy paradox** in which people profess to care strongly about privacy but then willingly give it up in their technology use in practice [Acquisti 2015]

How does social media impact...

Exposure to diverse political news?

"We find strong evidence that **[social media] foster more varied online news diets**. The results call into question fears about the vanishing potential for incidental news exposure in digital media environments." [Scharkow et al. 2020]

"We [...] quantified the extent to which individuals encounter comparatively

erse content while interacting via Facebook's algorithmically eed and further studied users' choices to click through to cordant content. **Compared with algorithmic ranking, pices played a stronger role in limiting exposure to content**.'' [Bakshy, Messing, and Adamic 2015]





Experiments context; replicability issues Correctness issues to be mindful of frame of the experiment (are there unaccounted confounding factors?) using other measures (are the results generalizable?)

external validity)

Strength: carefully teases out a causal relationship, what affects what? **Challenge:** often limited generalizability outside of the experimental

- Internal validity: is the causal story definitively proven by the method within the
- **External validity:** do the study results apply to other subjects in a different setting
- **Ecological validity:** can you generalize the results to the real world (a subtype of



Ethnography

CS HCI Psychology Anthropology

Anthropology has joined your party!





Ethnography Goal: understand, through participation, how people experience what they do [Dourish 2014] Examples:

Industry teams struggle to address these challenges

Ideally, we engage with stakeholders early [Zhu et al. 2018]

But, in practice in industry... [Holstein et al. 2019]

Data collection is unprincipled ("almost like the wild west") — so if an audit turns up a problem, go collect more training data

Checklists are difficult, because biases differ by product. Instead, fatalism: "You'll know if there's fairness issues if someone raises hell online."

Audits require individual-level demographics, but few teams have access to such data

Reflective

practitioner

How does design work? Why does it work?

Donald Schön [1984] studied a variety of professionals, including designers, and articulated a theory of the how and the why that has remained influential.





21

Ethnography Data gathering: participant observation, semi-structured interviews for generalization rather than abstraction. Challenge: Not as good a good fit for testing causal theories

- Analysis: many approaches, but to pick one (e.g. grounded theory)
- Strength: "Ethnography revels in particulars" [Dourish 2014]. Aims



Design

CS Psychology Anthropology Design

Design has joined your party!





Design

Goal: "The transformation of existing conditions into preferred ones" [Simon 1969]

Integrate behavioral knowledge with technical knowledge to produce a new viewpoint

Examples from earlier:





Design

Goal: "The transformation of existing conditions into preferred ones" [Simon 1969]

Integrate behavioral knowledge with technical knowledge to produce a new viewpoint

Examples:



Multi-chapter story









Design Strength: able to combine diverse elements into a novel whole We are still creating something, but now the enabling insight does not need to be technical Challenge: a combination of elements != a new idea To drive a frontier, there must be an a new animating idea or thesis that drives the combination



CS Psychology Anthropology Design Comp. social science

Computational social science has joined your party!





goal: Answer questions about human behavior by drawing on data from social media platforms

(1) A new microscope, can online platforms provide data that enable us to answer longstanding questions in the behavioral sciences?

(2) How has technology-mediated interaction changed our relationships with each other and with the world?



Examples:

How does social media impact...

Our well-being?

"Receiving targeted, composed communication from strong ties was associated with improvements in well-being while viewing friends" wide-audience broadcasts and receiving one-click feedback were not." [Burke and Kraut 2016]

Our job hunts?

"Most people are helped through one of their numerous weak ties but **a** single stronger tie is significantly more valuable at the margin"

[Gee, Jones and Burke 2017]

Algorithm audits make these problems visible

Algorithm audit: systematically querying an algorithm and observing its outputs to draw inferences about its opaque inner workings [Sandvig et al. 2014; Metaxa et al. 2021]

This lecture could have been an email [Cao et al. 2021]

Microsoft researchers investigated their own employees' own multitasking during remote meetings: e.g., are they using Outlook while in a Microsoft Teams meeting?

Consistently \sim 30% of meetings involve email multitasking. The odds go up by 2x if the meeting is at least ten people and by 3x if the meeting is \sim 1 hr long

Multitasking does not mean disengagement: often, it's communication with

vork: ''It needs to happen or you can't get all

35



Strength: observation and experimentation at scale allow us to

Challenge: "Drive-by social science" — analyses that are

- execute behavioral research that had been heretofore impractical
- disconnected from the expertise or theory of the domain experts



(Critical) Theory



CS Psychology Anthropology Design Comp. social science Humanities

The humanities have joined your party!





Critical theory Arguments dissecting, probing, and building out the assumptions

Arguments dissecting, probing, a underlying HCI Examples:

Feminist HCI [Bardzell 2010]

On one level, feminist theory prompts us to examine how we may be making assumptions about gender or gender roles

We ought to view supposedly-genderless constructs (e.g., "the user") as implicitly gendered

 $f(ubiquitous computing) \rightarrow$ what are we assuming about what sensors people would be willing to wear, or about what kind of sensing and tracking is desirable, that may not apply to non-males?

Yesterday's tomorrows

[Bell and Dourish 2007]

Ubiquitous computing is driven not by a technological goal, but by a shared vision of the future.

However, this vision is a future in 1991.

What should the future of ubicomp be, from today's perspective?



Critical theory

identify underlying issues that need to be addressed

Challenge: effective synthesis typically requires a broad and deep knowledge of the literature



Strength: can reframe a complex literature into a clearer light, or



Tensions in interdisciplinary work

Your stuff is terrible These methods and fields capture different points of view on how we know things to be true. These can put perspectives in tension: We can't trust it if it's not observed in the wild We can't trust it if we cannot perform causal inference with a clear mechanism We can't trust it if it wasn't measured quantitatively We can't trust it if it's not deeply exposed to lived experiences Rather than taking potshots at other methods, match the method to the question - each is best at answering only some questions



Your stuff is terrible.

As CS 347 graduates, you should be able to discard sophistic claims that one of these methods is "good" or "bad" or "always required"

For example, technical and design projects kept getting hammered for poor evaluation. This sucks, since they weren't trying to investigate human behavior

ABSTRACT

Current practice in Human Computer Interaction as encouraged by educational institutes, academic review processes, and institutions with usability groups advocate usability evaluation as a critical part of every design process. This is for good reason: usability evaluation has a significant role to play when conditions warrant it. Yet evaluation can be ineffective and even harmful if naively done 'by rule' rather than 'by thought'. If done during early stage design, it can mute creative ideas that do not conform to current interface norms. If done to test radical innovations, the many interface issues that would likely arise from an immature technology can quash what could have been an inspired vision. If done to validate an academic prototype, it may incorrectly suggest a design's scientific worthiness rather than offer a meaningful critique of how it would be adopted and used in everyday practice. If done without regard to how cultures adopt technology time then to devia reluctors reactions by users will

Usability Evaluation Considered Harmful (Some of the Time)

Saul Greenberg

Department of Computer Science University of Calgary Calgary, Alberta, T2N 1N4, Canada saul.greenberg@ucalgary.ca

Bill Buxton

Principle Researcher Microsoft Research Redmond, WA, USA bibuxton@microsoft.com

INTRODUCTION

Usability evaluation is one of the major cornersto user interface design. This is for good reason. As Div remind us, such evaluation helps us "assess our desig test our systems to ensure that they actually behave expect and meet the requirements of the user" [7]. typically done by using an evaluation method to mea predict how effective, efficient and/or satisfied would be when using the interface to perform one of tasks. As commonly practiced, these usability eva methods range from laboratory-based user observ controlled user studies, and/or inspection tech [7,22,1]. The scope of this paper concerns these meth The purpose behind usability evaluation, regardless

actual method, can vary considerably in different co Within product groups, practitioners typically e products under development for 'usability bugs', developing and arrested to compate the significant on



[Carroll and Kellogg 1989; Zimmerman and Forlizzi 2014] Engelbart's mouse had to be invented before there could be experimental studies demonstrating that it was a good design Each new social media platform launches a raft of new papers succinctly how to create effective visualizations

Do things precede theory?

- Are advances in HCI theory limited by advances in HCI technology?
 - Sutherland's Sketchpad long predated the theory of direct manipulation
- Or are advances in HCI technology limited by advances in theory?
 - We had to learn about perceptual psychology before we could explain



40

critique

Is our fundamental orientation toward creating new opportunities? Or toward problematizing them? How do we walk both paths (humbly)?

creation



For more...



Ways of Knowing in HCl



(Free online while you're at Stanford!)

Method

as an Approach to HCI

Technical Human–Computer Interaction Research

Using in Context

- Reading and Interpreting Ethnography Curiosity, Creativity, and Surprise as Analytic Tools: Grounded Theory
- Knowing by Doing: Action Research
- Concepts, Values, and Methods for
- Study, Build, Repeat: Using Online Communities as a Research Platform
- Field Deployments: Knowing from
- Science and Design: The Implications of Different Forms of Accountability
- Research Through Design in HCI Experimental Research in HCI Survey Research in HCI Crowdsourcing in HCI Research Sensor Data Streams Eye Tracking: A Brief Introduction Understanding User Behavior Through Log Data and Analysis Looking Back: Retrospective Study Methods for HCI Agent Based Modeling to Inform the

Design of Multiuser Systems



Designing an evaluation

(Mostly focused on technical and design contributions) Thanks to the faculty instructor of CS 197 for these ideas

Problematic point of view "But how would we evaluate this?" Why is this point of view problematic? prove it." precisely articulate your thesis, then you can't design an appropriate evaluation.

- Implication: "I believe the idea is right, but I don't believe that we can
- **Implication:** "Evaluation is distinct from the validity of the idea."
- Neither implication is correct. If you can precisely articulate your thesis, then you can design an appropriate evaluation. If you can't



Step I: articulate your thesis

A much more productive approach is to derive an evaluation design directly from your idea. What is the main thesis of your work?

In other words, what do you think is new and matters here?





Prior work

Behavior change can be motivated by quantitative data visualizations

Participatory design brings marginalized stakeholders to the table

Debugging should focus on asking "what is the value of this variable?" questions

Your thesis

Behavior change can be motivated by data-driven narratives

Gaps remain: members of marginalized communities can be alienated by participatory design processes

Debugging should focus on asking "why did this happen?" questions



Step 2: map your thesis onto a claim

HCI theses. Here are some common ones:

was not possible before

believe x is true, but we show that x isn't necessarily the case

- There are only a small number of claim structures implicit in most
 - x > y: approach x is better than approach y at solving the problem
 - **\mathbf{J} \mathbf{x}**: it is possible to construct an \mathbf{x} that satisfies some criteria, whereas it
 - x, really? our theory and widely held assumptions would lead us to



Prior work

Behavior change can be motivated by quantitative data visualizations

Your thesis motivated by data-driven narratives

Participatory design brings marginalized stakeholders to the table

Debugging should focus on asking "what is the value of this variable?" questions

Gaps remain: members of marginalized communities can be alienated by participatory design processes

Debugging should instead focus on asking "why did this happen?" questions

Behavior change can also be

Claim

B x: narrative visualizations can work

(could have been an x > y claim if the thesis implied "narratives are better")

x, really?: participatory design does not live up to its stated potential

x > y: debugging through why questions is better than debugging through what questions









Step 3: claims imply an evaluation design

Each claim structure implies an evaluation design

x > y: given a representative task or set of tasks, test whether x in fact outperforms y at the problem

3 x: demonstrate that your approach achieves x

x, really? demonstrate bounds inside or outside of which approach x fails



Your thesis

Behavior change can also be motivated by data-driven narratives

Claim **B** x: narrative visualizations can work

Gaps remain: members of marginalized communities can be alienated by participatory design processes

x, really?: participatory design does not live up to its stated potential

Debugging should instead focus on asking "why did this happen?" questions

x > y: debugging through why questions is better than debugging through what questions

Implied evaluation

Demonstrate that narrative-driven behavior change has impact

Demonstrate conditions under which PD alienates its stakeholders

Compare debugging through "why" vs. "what" in terms of number of bugs fixed, time, etc.











50

Summary HCI's interdisciplinary makes available many methodological orientations. Which to apply depends on your goal. To wit: Systems: engineer a thing Experiments: prove a causal thing Ethnography: understand a thing **Design:** craft a thing Computational social science: analyze a thing (Critical) theory: think a thing Design your evaluation by starting back at your thesis, mapping that thesis onto a claim, then deriving the evaluation from that claim



References

Carroll, John M., and Wendy A. Kellogg. "Artifact as theory-nexus: Hermeneutics meets theory-based design." Proceedings of the SIGCH conference on Human factors in computing systems. 1989.

Dourish, Paul. "Reading and interpreting ethnography." Ways of Knowing in HCI (2014): 1-23.

factors in computing systems. 2008.

Olson, Judith S., and Wendy A. Kellogg, eds. Ways of Knowing in HCI. New York: Springer, 2014.

Simon, Herbert A. "The science of design: Creating the artificial." In The Sciences of the Artificial. (1988): 67-82.

Zimmerman, John, and Jodi Forlizzi. "Research through design in HCI." Ways of Knowing in HCI (2014): 167-189.

- Greenberg, Saul, and Bill Buxton. "Usability evaluation considered harmful (some of the time)." Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human



52