

Collaboration

CS 347

Maneesh Agrawala

Announcement

The Brown Institute hosts a talk with
Georg Petschnigg, NY Times Head of Product Design:

Designing The New York Times Bundle

The essential subscription for every curious person seeking to understand
and engage with the world.



Wednesday, April 24, 3:00pm - 4:00pm

@ Fujitsu Conference Room 403, Gates Computer Science Building

RSVP <https://forms.gle/GZtAv11BDY6L2gYRA>



Last time

Our default is to replicate offline social interaction; instead we ought to aim to go “**Beyond Being There**”. Create social spaces that could **only** thrive online

We struggle with **Grudin’s Paradox**, where the people needed are those with the least incentive to contribute, and we struggle with **cold start**

Social media’s effect on us depends on use:

- Directed interactions increase friendships and wellbeing, but liking does not

- Social media use does increase social capital in our communities

- We take in a broader news diet, but democracies struggle with polarization under social media

Today

CSCW and the Johansen Matrix

Distance Matters

The Socio-Technical Gap

Coordination at Scale

CSCW: Computer-supported cooperative work

The traditional definition...

Computer-supported: technology is mediating the conversation

Cooperative: typically teams or groups of coordinating people

Work: tasks, as opposed to play or socializing

Johansen's time-space matrix

[Johansen 1988]

Time

Same time

Different time

Same place



Space

Different place



Implication: the design will need to look very different depending on the quadrant that you're in

Design considerations differ by quadrant

Time

Same time

Different time

Same place

Managing shared, simultaneous ownership
Backchannels

Visibility and permissions controls

Space

Different place

Awareness indicators

Presentation controls

Tools for managing inbox overload

Filters, tools for managing your self-presentation

Match your design to the quadrant

Distance Matters

Coworker communication

[Kraut et al. 1988]

Studied communication between collaborating researchers at Bell Labs

Distance between offices and probability of research collaboration		
Office location	Total pairs	% collaborating
same corridor	243	10.3
same floor	1038	1.9
different floor	1736	.3
different buildings	1261	.4

Result: Very significant falloff in collaboration as people get further apart. Even between same corridor and same floor.

Distance matters

[Olson and Olson 2000]

“If, as it is said to be not unlikely in the near future, the principle of sight is applied to the telephone as well as that of sound, earth will be in truth a paradise, and distance will lose its enchantment by being abolished altogether.”

– Arthur Mee, 1898

But...colocated software engineering teams outperformed the company average by 2x. Why?

YOU READ THIS

Distance matters

[Olson and Olson 2000]

The big idea behind this paper: why is distance collaboration so much worse?

This paper is the face that launched a thousand ships in CSCW—
analogous to The Computer for the 21st Century in interaction—cited as
motivation for nearly every study of remote collaboration

The Olsons's identified failures:

Common ground: knowledge that people have in common and know they have in common

Coupling: how complex the work interdependencies are

Surely not even today?

The tools have improved – Zoom, GitHub, Slack, Asana — does distance really still matter?

You're not the first one to ask this question...

Distance Matters

Gary M. Olson and Judith S. Olson
University of Michigan

SOFTWARE PROCESS IMPROVEMENT AND PRACTICE
Softw. Process Improve. Pract. 2008; 13: 493–510
Published online 3 November 2008 in Wiley InterScience
(www.interscience.wiley.com) DOI: 10.1002/spip.401

Does Distance Still Matter?

Timo Wolf,*† Thanh Nguyen and Daniela Damian
Software Engineering interAction Lab (SEGAL), Department of Computer Science, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC Canada

Does Distance Still Matter? Revisiting Collaborative Design on Distributed Collaboration

PERNILLE BJØRN, IT University of Copenhagen
MORTEN ESBENSEN, RASMUS ESKILDSEN
IT University of Copenhagen

FOCUS: COLLABORATIVE MODELING

Does Distance Still Matter?

Revisiting Collaborative Distributed Software Design

There are two important challenges to making GSE successful. Almost two decades ago, Gary Olson and Judith Olson raised these challenges:²

- *technological challenges* raised by the need for efficient, effective remote-collaboration tools and media; and
- *social challenges* raised by differences in local context, culture, language, and trust between collaborators.

They predicted that future technological advances will reduce the

Surely not even today?

THE UPSHOT | Do Chance Meetings at the Office Boost Innovation? There's No Evidence of It.

At the same time, technology — like Zoom, Slack and Google Docs — has made idea generation as effective online, researchers said. Judith Olson, a professor of computer science at the University of California, Irvine, has studied the effect of distance on teamwork for three decades. Distance matters much less now, she said: “Because of the technology these days, we’re actually inching closer and closer to replicating the office.”

[New York Times 2021]

Yes, even today. [Hu et al. 2022]

Ten month ethnography of a large national laboratory during COVID remote work

Team collaboration is now somewhat fluid using remote collaboration technology...

But the same tools **are breaking collaboration across teams.**

The collaboration tools and practices that help individual teams thrive (e.g., custom tools) make it harder at the organizational level (e.g., inability to share or interoperate)...and visa versa

Media richness theory

[Daft and Lengel 1986]

Collaboration media offer reduced cues relative to in-person interaction

Videochat: can't see the environment or whole body language, eye contact

Text chat: can't see facial expressions or gestures, can't hear intonation

Richness is ability of the channel to transfer and recreate the signals that the person is sending: e.g., cues, feedback

Claim of MRT: richer media are more effective for collaboration and working through challenging issues

Out of sight, out of sync

[Hinds and Bailey 2003]

Remote teams experience more conflict. Why?

Remote teams are generally less homogeneous than in-person teams, and lack shared context (e.g., norms)

Distance reduces familiarity and friendship and offsets temporal rhythms, leading to both affective conflict and process conflict

Technology leads to uneven information, negative relational effects, and coordination difficulties, all of which lead to affective conflict

The Socio-Technical Gap

Why are collaboration and social tools resiliently difficult to get right? Will distance ever not matter?

The intellectual challenge of social computing [Ackerman 2000]

“The social-technical gap is **the divide between what we know we *must* support socially and what we *can* support technically.**”

The social sciences teach us mechanisms that are important for effective social interaction. But we lack designs that facilitate those mechanisms.

Intuitively: we know how to throw parties IRL, but generally not how to provide those same mechanisms online.

Socio-technical gap in collaboration tools


Social sciences: effective collaboration requires that people be aware of what on the team others are up to [Mathieu et al. 2000]

But how do we support awareness, in practice, with technology?

Socio-technical gap in collaboration tools

Social sciences: effective collaboration requires that people be aware of what on the team others are up to [Mathieu et al. 2000]

But how do we support awareness, in practice, with technology?



Live feeds?

[Dourish and Bly 1992]



Activity indicators? [Biehl et al. 2007; Roseman+Greenberg 1996; Dabbish et al. 2012]

Gap: between the awareness we need, and what we know to build

Socio-technical gap in social media

Social sciences: social activity is nuanced, and people handle the details with remarkable fluidity and agility [Ackerman 2000; Garfinkel 1967; Heritage 1984; Suchman 1987]

The focused totality of decades of design & technological progress



...but what is a specific design that can better enable what we know to be effective interpersonal interaction?

Socio-technical gap in virtual reality


Social sciences: interpersonal distance carries meaning — intimate 1.5ft, personal 1.5–4ft, social 4–12ft, public 12-25ft [Hall 1966]

VR:

Socio-technical gap in virtual reality

Social sciences: interpersonal distance carries meaning — intimate < 1.5ft, personal 1.5–4ft, social 4–12ft, public 12-25ft [Hall 1966]


VR:



How do we smoothly trigger personal space cues in VR?

[Dy0ntae on YouTube]

**Collaboration beyond being
there: modern frontiers**



[Harris et al. 2019]


What's in team performance?

[Woolley et al. 2010]

Across tasks—ranging from brainstorming to execution to coordination—there exist stable factors predicting over 40% of the variation in team performance: a “collective intelligence factor”.
Guess what they are?

Nope, not the average/max intelligence of group members

The average social sensitivity of group members



Equality of conversational turn-taking

↑% of women in the group: mediated by social sensitivity

Open questions

Can we bring the right people together, given the task?

People initially say they want experts and sociable teammates, but ultimately are likely to choose prior social connections
[Gómez-Zarà 2019]

Can we help them flag potentially explosive meltdowns early?

As little as one minute of text chat can enable an algorithm to flag teams that may want to break up later [Cao et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2018]

Can we aid effective organization strategies?

What is the best way for teams to organize?

[Zhou, Valentine and Bernstein 2018]


Should teams be flat or hierarchical? Encouraging or critical?
Enforcing equal turn-taking?

Unfortunately, organizational behavior research has demonstrated that **there exist no universal answers** to these questions. They are contingent on the people and the task.




feifei 9:21 AM

hello




landay 9:21 AM

hi



wootters 9:21 AM

Hi everyone!



goal-robot APP 9:21 AM


INSTRUCTIONS



SUBMISSION



+ | [input field]



goal-robot


APP

9:21 AM

END OF ROUND



<feedback to DreamTeam system>



dreamteam-robot 9:21 AM

This round change the following...

Be super cheery! Make sure to write encouraging comments to all your teammates, despite any losses!

+



<feedback>

Hierarchy

None, Centralized, Decentralized



Interaction Patterns

Emergent, Round-robin, Equally distributed



Norms of Engagement

None, Professional, Informal



Decision-Making Norms

None, Divergent, Convergent, Informed, Rapid



Feedback Norms

None, Encouraging, Critical



Time 

The resulting teams outperform managers, collective decision making, and traditional multi-armed bandits by 40%.




Hierarchy

None, Centralized, Decentralized



Interaction Patterns

Emergent, Round-robin, Equally distributed



Norms of Engagement

None, Professional, Informal



Decision-Making Norms

None, Divergent, Convergent, Informed, Rapid



Feedback Norms

None, Encouraging, Critical



Time

This lecture could have been an email [Cao et al. 2021]

Microsoft researchers investigated their own employees' own multitasking during remote meetings: e.g., are they using Outlook while in a Microsoft Teams meeting?

Consistently ~30% of meetings involve email multitasking. The odds go up by 2x if the meeting is at least ten people and by 3x if the meeting is ~1 hr long


Multitasking does not mean disengagement: often, it's communication with colleagues or finishing other work: "It needs to happen or you can't get all your work done"

Coordination at scale:
crowdsourcing

Large-scale contributions

“Well, If we can’t coordinate in small groups, instead let’s highly structure our activities and open them up to massive scale.”


“Let’s call that crowdsourcing.”



Large-scale contributions

“What if people don’t want to volunteer?”

“Well, we could make it fun or incentivize them.”



Rank: 17 Score: 9092
48: Pro Peptide

Group Competition	
# Group Name	Score
1 The Lone Folder	9388
2 Street Smarts	9367
3 Illinois	9303
4 Berkeley	9255

Player Competition	
# Player Name	Score
16 psen	9098
17 kathleen	9092
18 versat82	9091
19 darktorres	9081
20 ccarrico	9032
21 mbjorkegren	9048
22 sslickerson	9038

Chat

kaggle

[Cooper et al. 2010]

[von Ahn and Dabbish 2004]

Crowdsourcing as Beyond Being There

Crowdsourcing gives up on having high common ground and coupling (vis a vis Olson), in favor of structured activities at scale

“Write a complete encyclopedia article” → “Fix this typo”

“Create a complete operating system” → “Try to fix this issue/bug”

“Train a machine learning algorithm” → “Label this image”

What crowdsourcing loses in coordination from in-person collaboration, it gains in sheer scale — going beyond being there


Crowdsourcing workflows

[Kittur et al., UIST '11]

How might we crowdsource more complex, interdependent outcomes?

Crowdsourcing as a map-reduce process


To write a Wikipedia page, partition on topics, map to find facts and then reduce into a paragraph



Crowd-powered applications

Shortn

Automatic clustering generally helps separate different kinds of records that need to be edited differently, but it isn't perfect. Sometimes it creates more clusters than needed, because the differences in structure aren't important to the user's particular editing task. For example, if the user only needs to edit near the end of each line, then differences at the start of the line are largely irrelevant, and it isn't necessary to split based on those differences. Conversely, sometimes the clustering isn't fine enough, leaving heterogeneous clusters that must be edited one line at a time. One solution to this problem would be to let the user rearrange the clustering manually, perhaps using drag-and-drop to merge and split clusters. Clustering and selection generalization would also be improved by recognizing common text structure like URLs, filenames, email addresses, dates, times, etc.



Automatic clustering generally helps separate different kinds of records that need to be edited differently, but it isn't perfect. Sometimes it creates more clusters than needed, as structure differences aren't important to the editing task. Conversely, sometimes the clustering isn't fine enough, leaving heterogeneous clusters that must be edited one line at a time. The user could solve this problem by merging and splitting clusters manually. Clustering and selection generalization would also be improved by recognizing common text structure like URLs, filenames, email addresses, dates, times, etc.].

[Bigham et al. 2010]

[Bernstein et al. 2010]

What temperature is my oven set to?



(69s) it looks like 425 degrees but the image is difficult to see.
(84s) 400
(122s) 450

Can you please tell me what this can is?




(183s) chickpeas.
(514s) beans
(552s) Goya Beans

What kind of drink does this can hold?



(91s) Energy
(99s) no can in the picture
(247s) energy drink

Hybrid crowd-AI applications




[Cranshaw et al. 2017]


Flash Organizations

[Valentine et al., CHI '17]


New forms of organization: connect to online labor marketplaces and structure crowds as computationally-powered organizations, not algorithms








Example flash organization




Example flash organization



Example flash organization





What would it take for us to be proud of our children growing up to work in these environments?
[Kittur et al. CSCW 2013]

**How to
Stop Silicon Valley
from Building a
New Global Underclass**

GHOST

Mary L. Gray and Siddharth Suri

WORK

Summary

Collaboration is hard: **distance matters**.

Tools can try to mitigate the effects of distance, but we are limited by the **socio-technical gap**.

Aiming to go beyond being there, **crowdsourcing** gives up on tight teamwork in favor of structured contributions through open call and at massive scale

References

- Bernstein, Michael S., et al. "Soylent: a word processor with a crowd inside." Proceedings of the 23rd annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology. 2010.
- Biehl, Jacob T., et al. "FASTDash: a visual dashboard for fostering awareness in software teams." Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems. 2007.
- Bigham, Jeffrey P., et al. "Vizwiz: nearly real-time answers to visual questions." Proceedings of the 23rd annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology. 2010.
- Bjørn, Pernille, et al. "Does distance still matter? Revisiting the CSCW fundamentals on distributed collaboration." ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI) 21.5 (2014): 1-26.
- Cao, Hancheng, et al. "My team will go on: Differentiating high and low viability teams through team interaction." Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 4.CSCW3 (2021): 1-27.
- Cooper, Seth, et al. "Predicting protein structures with a multiplayer online game." Nature 466.7307 (2010): 756-760.
- Cranshaw, Justin, et al. "Calendar. help: Designing a workflow-based scheduling agent with humans in the loop." Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 2017.
- Cao, Hancheng, et al. "Large scale analysis of multitasking behavior during remote meetings." Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 2021.

References

Dabbish, Laura, et al. "Social coding in GitHub: transparency and collaboration in an open software repository." Proceedings of the ACM 2012 conference on computer supported cooperative work. 2012.

Dourish, Paul, and Sara Bly. "Portholes: Supporting awareness in a distributed work group." Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems. 1992.

Garfinkel, Harold. "Studies in ethnomethodology." Social Theory Re-Wired. Routledge, 2016. 85-95.

Gómez-Zarà, Diego, et al. "Who would you like to work with?." Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems. 2019.

Gray, Mary L., and Siddharth Suri. Ghost work: How to stop Silicon Valley from building a new global underclass. Eamon Dolan Books, 2019.

Hall, Edward Twitchell. The hidden dimension. Vol. 609. Anchor, 1966.

Harris, Alexa M., et al. "Joining together online: the trajectory of CSCW scholarship on group formation." Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 3.CSCW (2019): 1-27.

Heritage, John. Garfinkel and ethnomethodology. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

Hinds, Pamela J., and Diane E. Bailey. "Out of sight, out of sync: Understanding conflict in distributed teams." Organization science 14.6 (2003): 615-632.

References

- Hu, Xinlan Emily, et al. "A 'Distance Matters' Paradox: Facilitating Intra-Team Collaboration Can Harm Inter-Team Collaboration." Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction 6.CSCWI (2022): 1-36.
- Johansen, Richard. "Groupware: Computer support for business teams." 1998.
- Jolak, Rodi, et al. "Does distance still matter? revisiting collaborative distributed software design." IEEE Software 35.6 (2018): 40-47.
- Miller, Claire C. "Do chance meetings at the office boost innovation? There's no evidence of it." New York Times (2021).
- Kittur, Aniket, et al. "The future of crowd work." Proceedings of the 2013 conference on Computer supported cooperative work. 2013.
- Olson, Gary M., and Judith S. Olson. "Distance matters." Human-computer interaction 15.2-3 (2000): 139-178.
- Roseman, Mark, and Saul Greenberg. "Building real-time groupware with GroupKit, a groupware toolkit." ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI) 3.1 (1996): 66-106.
- Suchman, Lucy A. Plans and situated actions: The problem of human-machine communication. Cambridge university press, 1987.
- Valentine, Melissa A., et al. "Flash organizations: Crowdsourcing complex work by structuring crowds as organizations." Proceedings of the 2017 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems. 2017.
- Von Ahn, Luis, and Laura Dabbish. "Labeling images with a computer game." Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems. 2004.

References

Wolf, Timo, Thanh Nguyen, and Daniela Damian. "Does distance still matter?." *Software Process: Improvement and Practice* 13.6 (2008): 493-510.

Woolley, Anita Williams, et al. "Evidence for a collective intelligence factor in the performance of human groups." *science* 330.6004 (2010): 686-688.

Zhang, Justine, et al. "Conversations Gone Awry: Detecting Early Signs of Conversational Failure." *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics..Vol. 1.* 2018.